

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,110	02/25/2004	Yusaku Yamamoto	NITT.0193	8797
Stanley P. Fish	7590 09/10/2007 er		NITT.0193	INER
Reed Smith LLP Suite 1400 3110 Fairview Park Drive Falls Church, VA 22042-4503			NGO, CHUONG D	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2193	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

_		·					
Office Action Summers		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/785,110	YAMAMOTO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	71 1111 110 2475 1111	Chuong D. Ngo	2193				
Pe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
St	ratus						
•	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02/25</u>	<u>/2004</u> .					
		,—					
		The second of the file is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
	4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Αŗ	oplication Papers						
:	 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
		,					
:		· ·					
	cachment(s)						
2) [Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/25/04 & 8/15/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/785,110

Art Unit: 2193

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract is not within the range of 50 to 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-10 are directed under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1-10 are directed to method and apparatus for merely performing manipulations and calculations of data. In order for a such a claimed invention that merely involves manipulation and calculation of data to be statutory, the claimed invention must accomplish a practical application. That is the claimed invention must transform an article or physical object to a different state or thing, or produce a useful, concrete and tangible result. State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74, 47 USPQ2d at 1601-02. Also see "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility", OG Notices: 22 November 2005. It is clear from claims 1-10 that the claims merely involve calculations and manipulations of data. The claimed invention does not transform an article or physical object to a different state or thing. The inputs are numerical values and the output is also a numerical value. The result produced by the inventions do not have a real world value but merely numerical values without a practical application recited in the claims to make the result useful, concrete and tangible. The

Art Unit: 2193

- j - }

recitations "a weather forecast computation system" and "a electron-structure computation system" in the preambles of claims 9 and 10, as field of use limitations, fail to render 9 and 10 statutory since the bodies of claims fail to recite how the result produced by the invention as claimed are useful in "weather forecast" or "electron-structure". Therefore, claims 1-10 are directed to non-statutory subject matter as the claimed invention fails to accomplish a practical application. Further, since the claims 1-8 appear to cover every substantial practical application, they are also directed to a preemption of the claimed manipulation and calculation of data.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Balasundaram et al. (5,548,761).

Balasundaram et al. disclosed in a method for implementing FFT in a parallel-processing computer having a plurality of processor, including dividing data into a plurality of data portions and distributing the data portions to the memories of the processors (see figure 8, line 1, and col.

Art Unit: 2193

15, lines 45-51), driving each processor to carry out a process on a first data part of the data portion distributed to said processor (see figure 8, lines 2-5), and driving each processor to carry out a process on a second data part of the data portion distributed to said processor and concurrently carry out processing to relocate results of said process carried out on said first data part by reassigning said results among said processor as claimed (see figure 8, lines 6-10 and col. 16, lines 31-44.)

7. Claims 9 and 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balasundaram et al. (5,548,761).

It is noted that Balasundaram does not specifically disclose implementing of the method in a weather forecast computation system or a electron-structure computation system. However, since FFT is well know to be performed in a weather forecast computation system or a electron-structure computation system, it would have be an obvious application to apply the teaching of Balasundaram et al to implement FFT in a weather forecast computation system or a electron-structure computation system as claimed in order to reduce the processing time.

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuong D. Ngo whose telephone number is (571) 272-3731. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Art Unit: 2193

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Chuong D Ngo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2193

08/23/2007